be no repetition of such leniency. Without admitting that the release of prisoners in the past was not fully justified by the circumstances then existing, Mr. MADHAVA RAU assured the House that there was no question at present of releasing the political convicts unle it was clear that they were genuinely penitent and would not take part again in unlawful activities.

With regard to the fears expressed by Mr. Malliah, the speaker stated that the provisions of the Act and the manner in which they had been used should be ample guarantee that the powers of preventive detention, etc., taken under the Act would not be entrusted to subordinate Police Officers. They would be exercised by Magistrates of status and experience, generally District Magistrates, under the close supervision of Government.

Personal liberty is a most valuable right of citizenship and should not be lightly interfered with. They were all agreed about that. But as some of the members had forcibly pointed out, there might be situations like the present where it was necessary to curtail this personal right in the larger interests of the public. The preventive detention of a few mischievous leaders might conceivably mean the preservation of the liberties of hundreds of comparatively innocent people whom they wished to victimise without scruple.

The general principles of the bill were then put to vote, and passed, 180 members voting FOR *and 11* AGAINST.

The House adjourned at 5-40 p.m., to meet again at 12 of the clock the following day.

THIRD DAY.

Wednesday, 18th October 1939.

The House reassembled at the Jagan Mohan Palace Pavilion, on Wednesday, 18th October 1939, at 12 of the clock, Mr. President (*Amin-ul-Mulk* Sir Mirza M. Ismail, K.C.I.E., O.B.E., Dewan) in the Chair.

Durbar Tickets for the Families of Representative Assembly Members.

Before the business of the day was taken up, Mr. V. S. KASTURI represented that the members of the Assembly were having some difficulty in obtaining tickets for the admission of members of their families to the Durbars held by His Highness the Maharaja. As ladies who had come from distant parts of the State were naturally anxious to witness the Durbars, he prayed that arrangements be made by the President for securing permits for the families of all members of the Representative Assembly.

The PRESIDENT replied that the issue of tickets for admission to the Durbars was being regulated from day to day according to the accommodation available.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS —*contd.*

Bus Service on the Bangalore-Malavalli-Bannur-Seringapatam-Mysore Road.

Q.—31. Mr. H. K. MADIAH (Malavalli Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of buses running between Bangalore and Mysore, *via* Seringapatam, Bannur, Malavalli, Halagur and Sathanur ;

(*b*) whether it has come to their notice that the road through which the buses run is in a good condition to allow bus traffic thereon ;

(*c*) whether the difficulties experienced by travellers for want of an adequate number of buses in this line have come to their notice;

(*d*) the number of applications received so far to run through services in this line;

(*e*) whether there are among such applicants well-to-do and popular persons?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, LAW DEPARTMENT (Mr. C. Subrahmanya Aiyar).**—

(*a*) At present no bus service is plying between Mysore and Bangalore on this route.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) Only one—Mr. H. K. Madiah of Malavalli.

(*e*) No information.

Mr. K. L. NANJAPPA NADIG.—With reference to answer (*a*) stating that no buses ply on the said route, are the Government aware that people of those parts are being inconvenienced for want of a service?

Mr. C. SUBRAHMANYA AIYAR.—There is a service now from Bangalore up to the Mysore District border *via* Halagur.

Mr. K. L. NANJAPPA NADIG.—When people want a service straight on to Mysore by that route, why not provide it?

Mr. C. SUBRAHMANYA AIYAR.—The Traffic Board must consider the question and recommend the issue of a licence, if necessary.

Mr. K. L. NANJAPPA NADIG.—Have the Government referred this question to the Traffic Board?

Mr. C. SUBRAHMANYA AIYAR.—The Traffic Board has considered a petition received in this behalf and rejected it.

Mr. K. L. NANJAPPA NADIG.—Have not both the Executive Engineers recommended the issue of a licence as the road is in a fit condition?

Mr. C. SUBRAHMANYA AIYAR.—It may be. But the Traffic Board did not view the request favourably.

Mr. K. L. NANJAPPA NADIG.—Did the District Traffic Advisory Committee support the application?

Mr. C. SUBRAHMANYA AIYAR.—I do not know that.

Mr. B. L. BYANNA.—I am a member of that committee. The application did not come up before the Bangalore District Traffic Advisory Committee at all, as it should have.

Mr. C. SUBRAHMANYA AIYAR.—The reasons for which the application was rejected are not known.

Mr. B. CHAMIAH.—Should not the Government create the necessary facilities for travellers by this route?

Mr. C. SUBRAHMANYA AIYAR.—There is railway facility already, as also a direct bus service between Bangalore and Mysore. Nobody goes to Mysore by that round-about route.

Mr. L. VEMA REDDY.—There is no railway connection to interior villages like Malavalli, Kankanhalli, Sathanur, etc. As it is, they should go either through Channapatna or Maddur. Is that not a difficulty?

Mr. C. SUBRAHMANYA AIYAR.—I do not know on what considerations the application was rejected. We shall refer it again to the Traffic Board for consideration.

Mr. D. VENKATARAMANAPPA.—Now there is no public conveyance for people desirous of visiting the Shimsha project. Why not sanction the application for opening up that part of the country?

The FIRST MEMBER (Rajamantrapravina N. Madhava Rau).—If it is found necessary, we shall reconsider the matter.

Construction of a Vaddu at Bachenahalli.

Q.—34. **Mr. MARULASIDDAPPA** (Arsikere Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state whether it is a fact that an estimate has been prepared for the construction of a *vaddu* at Bachenahalli (Hirekatte *Vaddu*) in Javagal Hobli, Arsikere Taluk?